

[en](#)

News | 02.10.2017

## Biofuel stitch-up

### An article by Molly Scott-Cato, MEP

*A powerful biofuel lobby is behind the recast of the Renewable Energy Directive, and MEPs are inviting them into the parliament for dinner.*

On Tuesday night in Strasbourg after the vote on the recast of the Renewable Energy Directive in the Agriculture and Rural Affairs committee, EPP rapporteur Marijana Petir has organised a private dinner ‘debate’ about the ‘critical questions facing the European biodiesel industry’. Attended by biofuel lobbyists and pro-biofuel MEPs, guests will enjoy a three course meal of untruths peddled by the biofuel lobby:

#### ***Starters: 'Protecting investors'***

The industry maintains that production must be maintained to protect ‘investors’ who were previously encouraged to put money into biofuels, before the unintended consequences of the EU policy became known. But this argument falls flat when we see that investments made in conventional biofuels before 2010 have already been paid back, or will be before this decade is out. The Commission's original proposal was precisely to phase out these damaging investments. Secondly, with the strong political commitments to carbon emissions reductions in the Paris Agreement, a further signal to investors to place their capital in the biofuels sector by increasing thresholds, will simply create a ‘carbon bubble’ - setting them up to lose their money and acquire ‘stranded assets’. It is clear that such destructive investment must be phased out.

#### ***Main Course: 'Supporting farmers'***

Ending support for crop based biofuels would put the future of EU farmers at risk, argue advocates. This is because the production of crops like rapeseed which is used in bioethanol provide a significant income for many EU farmers. However, in its 2016-2020 horizon report, the EU predicted that if crop-based biofuels were phased out to zero, whereas demand for some crops would decrease, demand for other crops would remain unchanged or even marginally increase for food and feed, with negligible negative effect.

### ***Dessert: 'Crop-based biofuels can be highly sustainable'***

The biofuel industry claims that biofuels need not drive land use change, and that instead the transport sector can rely on what they are calling 'highly sustainable crop-based biofuels'. However, this category of biofuels will displace agricultural land and drive deforestation in the developing world. Further, according to a European Parliament Research Service study in 2015, 99% of biofuels used for road transport came directly from food and feed crops. Anyone who argues that the EU should meet a 7% biofuel in transport target whilst using such a delusional definition of sustainability is simply misguided.

The reality is, that for as long as the EU encourages the growth of the biofuel industry, a resulting shortage of land for food will drive more deforestation and leave many of the world's poorest in the global south hungry.

A huge body of evidence has directly linked the 2009 EU [Renewable Energy Directive](#) (RED) with land use change, human rights abuses and climate devastation. According to [research](#) carried out in 2010, an area of 445,000km<sup>2</sup> (the size of Sweden) of cropland and forest is needed to meet the EU's biofuel demands. Renewables policy in the EU has massive global reach, driving land grabbing and deforestation; leaving people hungry and destroying our last chance to save our climate.

In 2017 with the recast of the Renewable Energy Directive (REDII), there is a real opportunity to reduce the negative impacts of biofuel demand and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by preserving forests and wetlands that soak up carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. But the review is being hijacked by an extremely powerful biofuel industry lobby, who [spent over €14m](#) and hired nearly 400 lobbyists at EU level between 2015 and 2016 alone. This lobby are currently pushing to maintain the destructive 7% target for biofuels in the transport sector until 2030. With current biofuel usage at around 4%, this target would dramatically boost biofuel production. And if that wasn't enough, they are even pushing to add new targets that would dramatically increase destructive crop-based biofuels.

In the AGRI committee of which I'm a member, the conservative, neoliberal and far-right alliance are more than happy to push the industry line, and to support this murderous policy. But the socialists too are complicit in this and have even advocated a doubling of crop-based biofuels in transport. If MEPs are serious about preventing hunger, environmental devastation and climate change then they must phase out crop-based biofuels now.

### **Recommended**




[PLENARY FLASH: Greens/EFA Priorities 6 to 9 October](#)

06.10.2025

Policy Paper

©moritz-kindler



## [Europe's Green Tech Revolution](#)

27.06.2025

Opinion

Environment Protection Authority Victoria (CC BY 4.0)



## [PFAS in Wine, Water & Makeup: How We Can Ban Forever C...](#)

18.06.2025

Press release

ricardo-gomez-angel



## [Simplification must not come at cost of climate](#)

14.05.2025

## **Responsible MEPs**



Molly Scott Cato

Vice-President

**Please share**

[•E-Mail](#)

