

[en](#) | [de](#) | [fr](#) | [es](#) | [it](#)

[Press release](#) | 07.12.2017

## Greens/EFA group calls for Commission decision to be annulled

### Glyphosate

The Greens/EFA group will try to build a majority in the European Parliament to refer the European Commission's decision to renew the licence for glyphosate to the European Court of Justice.

The call follows a new report from Professor Olivier De Schutter, who served as the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the right to food from 2008 to 2014, outlining the reasons why the Commission's renewal should be annulled. The report is available on the [Greens/EFA website](#).

**Philippe Lamberts**, co-president of the Greens/EFA group in the European Parliament, comments:

*"We will now try build a majority in the European Parliament to take this to the European Court of Justice and will appeal to the Member States that rightly objected to the Commission's proposals to join us. We must attempt to reverse what is set to be a deeply harmful decision. It will be clear to anyone that reads Professor De Schutter's meticulous report that the Commission has been led by business interests. They disregarded not only the European Citizens' Initiative and the view of the European Parliament, but also serious scientific warnings. Despite the large scale concern, they pressed ahead without even allowing a pause for further investigation. The German government in particular has questions to answer. It seems they are more interested in ensuring the proposed Bayer-Monsanto merger goes ahead than protecting the health of their own citizens."*

**Professor Olivier De Schutter** adds:

*"The Commission has transformed into an institutional crisis what was, initially, a public health issue. It has dismissed the views of the International Agency of Research of Cancer (IARC) of the WHO, according to which glyphosate represents a 'probable risk of provoking cancer in humans'. It did so despite the fact that the IARC's findings are far more respected by the scientific community than those of the European agencies — the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) —, which have adopted their views based primarily, it now appears, on the documents provided by Monsanto. This is unacceptable. The Court of Justice shall have no choice but to annul the Implementing Regulation, for violation of the requirement to ensure a high level of protection of human health and of the environment, and for violation of the right of citizens to file a ECI — and to contribute thereby to the democratic life of the Union."*

## Background

The European Commission is due to adopt the implementing regulation on 12 December. There is then a two month period in which any Member State or the European Parliament can file an action for annulment of the implementing regulation.

For the full report, see: <http://extranet.greens-efa-service.eu/public/media/file/1/5422>

## Recommended

News

Lawitschka yvan (CC BY-SA 4.0)



[PLENARY FLASH: Greens/EFA Priorities 6 to 9 October](#)

06.10.2025

Press release

Foto von Ben Berwers auf Unsplash



[Mid-term review risks turning EU Cohesion policy into ...](#)

10.09.2025

Opinion

Environment Protection Authority Victoria (CC BY 4.0)



## [PFAS in Wine, Water & Makeup: How We Can Ban Forever C...](#)

18.06.2025

Press release

ricardo-gomez-angel



## Simplification must not come at cost of climate

14.05.2025

### **Responsible MEPs**



Philippe Lamberts

Member

**Contact person**



David Weir

Press & Media Advisor EN (English language press)

**Please share**

[.E-Mail](#)